Prepared for submission to JHEP

A simple model of momentum relaxation in Lifshitz holography

Tomás Andrade[a]

[a] *Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics*
University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, UK

E-mail: tomas.andrade@physics.ox.ac.uk

Abstract: We expand the holographic studies of momentum relaxation to include non-relativistic scaling symmetries in the ultraviolet. We do so by constructing black branes with Lifshitz asymptotics dressed with axions which explicitly depend on the boundary directions. Such configurations arise as analytic solutions of the Einstein-Proca theory coupled to massless scalar fields in arbitrary dimensions. Studying linear perturbations on these backgrounds, we conclude that there is a dual Ward identity which accounts for the dissipation of momentum in the system. In addition, we numerically compute the frequency dependent thermal conductivity of the branes and verify that its DC limit is finite.

arXiv:1602.00556v1 [hep-th] 1 Feb 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Analytic Lifshitz black branes with scalar sources **4**

3 Momentum relaxation **6**
3.1 Ward identity 6
3.2 Thermal conductivity 8

4 Conclusions **9**

A Asymptotics of the linearized perturbations **11**

B Symplectic flux and conjugate pairs **11**

1 Introduction

In the last few years the study of momentum relaxation in holography has received a considerable amount of attention. The main reason is that the gauge/gravity duality [1–3] provides with a powerful tool to describe transport properties in strongly coupled systems in which translational invariance is broken, hence coming closer to a more realistic description of condensed matter systems. To achieve this, we must construct gravitational solutions which break translational symmetry along the boundary directions, which can be done by imposing boundary conditions that correspond to turning on spatially dependent sources on the dual field theory. Generically, this entails solving a complicated system of non-linear, coupled, partial differential equations (PDE's).

For the case of periodic sources, this has been successfully carried out in [4] (see also [5–9]) with the expected results for the small frequency transport: the zero-frequency delta-function contribution in the conductivity, encountered when translational symmetry is preserved, is resolved into a Drude peak. The mathematical problem can be largely simplified by considering scenarios in which a global symmetry is present. Then, one can break the translations precisely along this global symmetry direction, which implies that the resulting equations of motion are only ordinary differential equations (ODE's), since the explicit dependence on the boundary coordinates drops out [10–12], see [13] for an earlier example[1]. Because of this, these configurations are usually referred to as "homogeneous holographic lattices." The model considered in [12] is of particular simplicity, consisting of

[1] An alternative holographic model for momentum relaxation was proposed in [14] which considered breaking diffeomorphism invariance by adding a mass to the graviton in four spacetime dimensions. In this approach the equations of motion for the background geometries are also ODE's.

Einstein-Maxwell theory coupled to a set of massless scalar fields which are taken to have linear dependence on the boundary coordinates and are thus termed *axions*. Homogeneity of the bulk stress tensor is ensured by the masslessness of the scalar fields, and they can moreover be arranged in such a way that an analytic solution with finite temperature and chemical potential can be found in arbitrary dimensions[2]. Interestingly, despite their mathematical simplicity the homogeneous lattices are able to capture the desired low energy physics that result from momentum relaxation and moreover display interesting phases including insulators, coherent and incoherent metals, superconductors, among others [16–31][3].

So far, the focus of the study of momentum relaxation in holography has been placed on relativistic setups, i.e. the black hole geometries under scrutiny are asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS) so their dual field theories possess a scaling symmetry that treats time and space on the same footing. Since global symmetries need to match on each side of the duality, the first step in attempting to describe field theories endowed with other symmetries is to consider gravitational solutions which realize the algebra of interest as their asymptotic symmetry group. A thoroughly studied example is the $(d+1)$-dimensional Lifshitz metric [33],

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^{2z}dt^2 + r^2\delta_{ab}dx^a dx^b. \tag{1.1}$$

Here r is the holographic coordinate, chosen such that $r \to \infty$ describes the boundary of space-time, in which we introduce a time coordinate t and $(d-1)$ spatial coordinates x^a. If the dynamical exponent z is different from 1, this metric is invariant under the following anisotropic scale transformation

$$\vec{x} \to \lambda\vec{x}, \qquad t \to \lambda^z t, \qquad r \to \lambda^{-1} r. \tag{1.2}$$

The holographic study of solutions that approach (1.1) at the boundary was suggested by [33] and goes under the name of non-relativistic holography, or, more specifically, Lifshitz holography. See [34] for a recent review[4].

To facilitate the application of holographic techniques, it is convenient to think of (1.1) as the solution of a particular bulk theory. A popular bottom-up (i.e. non-stringy) model is the Einstein-Proca theory, in which the Lifshitz geometry is supported by a non-trivial massive vector [37][5]. Finite temperature generalizations of (1.1) have not been found analytically in the Einstein-Proca theory and so far these have been obtained resorting

[2]This solution had previously been found in [15] although no holographic applications were considered there.

[3]It appears, however, that these homogeneous lattices are not able to incorporate the physics of commensurability which one would expect of structures with a characteristic momentum scale [32].

[4] It is also possible to consider solutions that possess other non-relativistic symmetries as part their asymptotic symmetry group. An example that has received much attention is the Schrödinger spacetime, the holographic studies of which were put forward in [35, 36]. Here we will mostly be concerned with Lifshitz and only comment briefly on the Schrödinger case in section 4.

[5]Here we adopt the point of view of [33] and consider relativistic gravitational field theories in the bulk which admit solutions with non-relativistic asymptotics. Another interesting approach is to take the gravitational bulk theories to also be non-relativistic [38–43].

to other somewhat unusual modifications of Einstein gravity. These include Einstein-Proca coupled to a scalar field without a kinetic term [44], gravity with higher curvature interactions [45–55], Brans-Dicke theory [56], and higher spin gravity [57, 58]. Additionally, Lifshitz black branes have been constructed in the presence of dilatonic couplings with running scalar fields, see e.g. [37].

In order to extract the physics of the dual theory, we need to identify the independent pieces of the asymptotic UV data and associate them with sources and vevs of the dual field theory operators, i.e. solve the *dictionary* problem. Regarding this, the main difference of non-relativistic holography with its relativistic counterpart is the fact that, due to the anisotropic scaling, the former lacks a well-defined conformal boundary metric, as can be explicitly seen in (1.1). An interesting resolution of this issue is to work in terms of the veilbien fields instead of the metric, which allows for a clean separation of the temporal and spatial pieces of the geometry [59, 60]. This separation naturally implements the fact that, as expected in a non-relativistic field theory, the relativistic (symmetric) stress-tensor needs to be replaced by a stress tensor complex, in which, for example, momentum and energy fluxes enter differently. Adopting this approach, the dictionary problem for Lifshitz was worked out in [59] by studying linear perturbations around the pure Lifshitz background (1.1) embedded in the Einstein-Proca theory. Their strategy can be summarized as follows: extending the usual relativistic AdS/CFT dictionary, sources of the stress tensor complex are associated with perturbations that shift the appropriate components of the boundary vielbien. Then, after constructing an appropriate action principle, vevs are obtained as the variations of the action with respect to the sources. Alternatively, as suggested in [61], vevs can be identified as the boundary quantities which are canonically conjugated to the sources in the symplectic flux evaluated at the boundary, which circumvents the computation of the counterterms at least in a linear approximation. This procedure was carried out in [62] for the Lifshitz background and we will employ the same method here.

In this paper we initiate the study of momentum relaxation in non-relativistic holography. The first step will be to construct black brane solutions which break translational invariance and asymptote to (1.1) near the boundary. By extending the mechanism of [12] to non-relativistic setups, this can be easily done by adding axions to the Einstein-Proca model. Interestingly, in addition to breaking translational invariance this allows us to find an analytic finite temperature solution, absent in the theory when the scalars vanish. The second step will be to demonstrate that the so constructed black holes constitute in fact systems that violate momentum conservation. To do so, we will show that the Ward identity that yields momentum conservation in the translationally invariant solution is modified in the presence of the axions in such a way that the violation of conservation is proportional to the product of the sources and vevs dual to the axions. In close parallel to the relativistic case, this Ward identity becomes non-trivial at the level of linear fluctuations. As a compelling consistency check we will numerically compute the AC thermal conductivity, which is related to the two point function of the momentum operator by a Kubo formula, and explicitly verify that it approaches a finite DC value.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we present the analytic solutions of interest and discuss some of their properties. We analyze the linear perturbations that

capture the physics of the dual momentum operator in section 3, where we derive the Ward identity that shows that momentum is not conserved, and compute the thermal conductivity. We conclude and discuss some open issues in section 4. Finally, we provide two appendices in which we collect useful results regarding the linear fluctuations.

2 Analytic Lifshitz black branes with scalar sources

As mentioned above, the Lifshitz metric (1.1) can be obtained as an analytic solution in the Einstein-Proca theory with negative cosmological constant. In order to incorporate momentum relaxation in the system it is natural to add massless axions to the setup, in the way suggested in [12]. This leads us to consider the following action in $(d+1)$ dimensions

$$I = \int d^{d+1}x\sqrt{-g}\left[R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{4}F^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}A^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I=1}^{d-1}(\partial\psi_I)^2\right], \tag{2.1}$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, Λ is the cosmological constant, A is a massive vector field with mass m and field strength $F = dA$, and ψ_I is a collection of $(d-1)$ massless scalar fields collectively referred to as axions. Throughout this paper we will be concerned with configurations of the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{h(r)} - f(r)dt^2 + r^2\delta_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \tag{2.2}$$

$$A = a(r)dt, \qquad \psi_I = \alpha\delta_{Ia}x^a, \tag{2.3}$$

where α is a dimension one constant that controls the amount of translational symmetry breaking. Within this ansatz, we can readily check that we obtain an analytic solution of the equations of motion by letting

$$f(r) = r^4\left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}\right), \qquad h(r) = r^2\left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}\right), \qquad a(r) = r^2\left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$m^2 = 2(d-1), \qquad \Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(d^2+1), \qquad r_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2(d-1)}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Here we have set the overall curvature length scale to one, as we shall do henceforth. The solution (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) asymptotes to the Lifshitz metric (1.1) with $z = 2$, and possesses a regular black hole horizon at $r = r_0$ with temperature

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{h(r)}{f(r)}}f'(r)\Big|_{r=r_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\alpha^2}{(d-1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Note that the temperature has dimension 2 due to the Lifshitz scaling. The metric (2.2) is homogeneous and isotropic in the spatial coordinates, although the full solution is not invariant under spatial translations due to the presence of the scalar fields (2.3), just as in the asymptotically AdS case studied in [12]. It is worth noting that, as opposed to the

$z = 1$ solutions of the Einstein-axion model, no logarithmic branches appear when we take $d = 2$.

By solving the Klein-Gordon equation for a scalar of mass m_ψ in the spacetime (1.1), we find that the two independent falloffs correspond to scaling dimensions [33]

$$\Delta_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(z+d-1) \pm \sqrt{m_\psi^2 + \frac{1}{4}(z+d-1)^2}, \tag{2.7}$$

The standard AdS/CFT dictionary instructs us to identify the leading (subleading) coefficient with the source (vev) of a dual scalar operator. In the case at hand we have $m_\psi^2 = 0$, $z = 2$, so that $\Delta = 0, (d+1)$. Hence, we can interpret the axions $\psi \sim \alpha x$ as turning on spatially-dependent scalar sources for $(d-1)$ dual scalar operators $\mathcal{O}_I$ of dimension $(d+1)$.

Note that, because of the relations (2.5), α is the only free parameter in the solution, which can be moreover scaled away a coordinate transformation. In this sense, the geometry above is the analogue of the relativistic solution of [12] with the energy and chemical potential set to zero. Although we have not computed the charges of these black branes in detail, we can argue on dimensional grounds that they have zero energy. First, note that in theories with Lifshitz scaling the energy density has dimensions $(z+d-1)$. There are no integration constants with such dimension in the functions (2.4), which suggests that the black branes (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) indeed have zero energy.

As mentioned in the introduction, the black brane geometry (2.2), (2.4) had previously been obtained in arguably non-standard theories of gravity, some of which are expected to be pathological. Remarkably, besides breaking translational invariance, the presence of the axions allows for an analytic finite temperature generalization of (1.1), so that the configuration (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) arises naturally in a theory which appears to be well-behaved and has a transparent interpretation in terms of a dual theory. We expect the dual field content to simply be that of the Einstein-Proca theory – the stress tensor complex along with a scalar operator [59] – in addition to a set of scalar operators of dimension $(d+1)$ introduced by the axions. We will verify that this is the case in the sector of perturbations dual to the momentum operator.

In $d = 3$, it is possible to find another analytic solution which has Lifshitz asymptotics with $z = 4$. This configuration takes the form (2.2), (2.3), with

$$f(r) = r^6 h(r), \qquad a(r) = r^2 h(r), \qquad h(r) = \frac{3}{2}(r^2 - r_0^2)\left(1 + \frac{r_0^2}{3r^2}\right), \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$m^2 = 12, \qquad \Lambda = -18, \qquad r_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{20}}. \tag{2.9}$$

The temperature is given by

$$T = \frac{\alpha^4}{400\pi}. \tag{2.10}$$

Just like in the family of $z = 2$ solutions above, there are no integration constants of dimension $(z+d-1) = 6$, so we expect this $z = 4$ brane to also have zero energy. While finding more general solutions in an analytic way has escaped us, we expect their numerical

construction to be relatively straightforward, which we propose as a future direction of this work.

The fact that the solutions presented here break translational invariance strongly suggests that they constitute holographic systems in which momentum is not conserved. We will explicitly show that this is indeed the case in the following section.

3 Momentum relaxation

This section is devoted to demonstrate that the Lifshitz black holes of section 2 are in fact geometries that implement momentum relaxation. In order to do so, we study the linear fluctuations that control the dynamics of the momentum density operator $\mathcal{P}_a$. More precisely, we will obtain the Ward identity that shows the non-conservation of momentum at the linearized level in addition to explicitly verifying that the zero frequency limit of the thermal conductivity is finite. For concreteness, we will focus on the case of $z = 2$, $d = 3$. We believe that our procedure below should be applicable for other values of the parameters with minor modifications.

3.1 Ward identity

As argued in [59], the fluctuations of the g_{tx} component of the metric encode the dynamics of $\mathcal{P}_x$, so we concentrate on this type of perturbations[6]. We obtain a consistent set of linearized equations about the background (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) by considering

$$\delta g_{tx} = e^{-i\omega t} r^2 h_{tx}(r), \qquad \delta A_x = e^{-i\omega t} a_x(r), \qquad \delta\psi_1 = e^{-i\omega t} s(r). \tag{3.1}$$

We have included the prefactor r^2 in δg_{tx} to simplify the expressions below. The equations of motion that govern the dynamics of this set of perturbations are

$$s'' + \left(\frac{3}{r} + \frac{h'}{h}\right) s' + \frac{\omega^2}{r^2 h^2} s - \frac{i\alpha\omega}{r^2 h^2} h_{tx} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$a_x'' + \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{h'}{h}\right) a_x' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{r^2 h^2} - \frac{4}{h}\right) a_x + \frac{a'}{h} h_{tx}' = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\alpha s - \frac{i\omega}{h} h_{tx}' - \frac{i\omega a'}{r^2 h} a_x = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to simplify the equations above we have used that $a = r^{-2} f = h$, which directly follows from (2.4). The UV asymptotics of the linearized fields are given by

$$h_{tx} = r^2 \left(h_{tx}^{(0)} + \frac{h_{tx}^{(1)}}{r^2} + \frac{h_{tx}^{(2)}}{r^4} + \frac{\log r}{r^4} \tilde{h}_{tx}^{(2)} + \frac{h_{tx}^{(3)}}{r^6} + \dots \right), \tag{3.5}$$

$$a_x = r^2 \left(a_{tx}^{(0)} + \frac{a_x^{(1)}}{r^2} + \frac{a_x^{(2)}}{r^4} + \frac{\log r}{r^4} \tilde{a}_x^{(2)} + \frac{a_x^{(3)}}{r^6} + \dots \right), \tag{3.6}$$

$$s = s^{(0)} + \frac{s^{(1)}}{r^2} + \frac{s^{(2)}}{r^4} + \frac{\log r}{r^4} \tilde{s}^{(2)} + \frac{s^{(3)}}{r^6} + \dots . \tag{3.7}$$

[6] By isotropy of the background we are free to choose any component of the momentum to perform the analysis. Throughout this section we refer to this direction as x, without extra indices, for notational convenience.

The logarithms appear because we are working with integer z and they signal the presence of a scale anomaly [63, 64]. The independent pieces of the UV asymptotic data can be taken to be $h_{tx}^{(0)}$, $h_{tx}^{(1)}$, $h_{tx}^{(2)}$, $h_{tx}^{(3)}$ and $s^{(0)}$, with the remaining coefficients being fixed by the asymptotic equations of motion. The explicit expression for the first few orders are given in appendix A. It is worth noting that the ansatz (3.1) admits a residual symmetry generated by the vector $\xi = e^{-i\omega t}\xi_0^x\partial_x$, where ξ_0^x is a constant. This gauge symmetry acts non-trivially on the coefficients as $\delta s^{(0)} = \alpha\xi_0^x$, $\delta h_{tx}^{(1)} = -i\omega\xi_0^x$ which implies that the combination

$$\Sigma^{(0)} := h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\frac{\omega}{\alpha}s^{(0)} \tag{3.8}$$

is gauge invariant.

In order to identify the dual sources and vevs in terms of the coefficients of the UV expansion we proceed as in [62]. First, by noting which fluctuations modify the different components of the boundary vielbeins, we conclude that $h_{tx}^{(0)}$ and $h_{tx}^{(1)}$ are sources for the energy flux and momentum density, respectively. Moreover, as stated in section 2, we relate the leading branch of the scalar field $s^{(0)}$ to the source of a dual scalar operator. Following [62], we henceforth set $h_{tx}^{(0)}$ to zero since it is the source for the energy flux which is an irrelevant operator.

Having found the sources we proceed to read off the vevs by evaluating the symplectic flux at the boundary. The computation is rather technical so we leave the details for appendix B. The key result is that we can identify the vevs of the momentum operator $\mathcal{P}_x$ and the scalar operator $\mathcal{O}$, dual to the fluctuating axion, in terms of the coefficients of the boundary expansions as

$$\mathcal{P}_x = 2a_x^{(2)} - 2h_{tx}^{(2)} + \tilde{h}_{tx}^{(2)} = -4h_{tx}^{(2)} - \frac{3\alpha^2}{4}\Sigma^{(0)}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\mathcal{O} = 4s_{(2)} - \tilde{s}^{(2)} = \frac{i\omega}{\alpha}\left(4h_{tx}^{(2)} + \frac{3\alpha^2}{4}\Sigma^{(0)}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

It is worth commenting that, up to terms local in the sources, this matches our expectations from previous results in the literature. Firstly, from the free Klein-Gordon field calculation of [33], we expected $\mathcal{O} \sim s_{(2)}$, which indeed holds true. Moreover, [59, 62] found that $\mathcal{P}_x \sim h_{tx}^{(2)}$ which is also verified in our computation. The energy flux is related to the coefficient $h_{tx}^{(3)}$ but the details of this part of the dictionary will not concern us here.

Aimed with equations (3.9) and (3.10), we can now verify that the following relation holds

$$\partial_t\mathcal{P}_x = \partial_x\psi^{(0)}\mathcal{O}, \tag{3.11}$$

which is the sought for Ward identity that accounts for momentum relaxation in the system. Here we have transformed back to position space noting that the background value for the source of the scalar, denoted by $\psi^{(0)}$, satisfies $\partial_x\psi^{(0)} = \alpha$. Denoting the spatial stress tensor by π^{ab}, we expect the term $\partial_a\pi_x^a$, to appear on the left hand side of (3.11) when spatially dependent perturbations are taken into account [59, 60]. Because holographic Ward identities are the result of diffeomorphism invariance of the on-shell action [65], it is not surprising for (3.11) to adopt the same form as in the relativistic case discussed in [12] at zero chemical potential.

3.2 Thermal conductivity

We will now numerically calculate the frequency-dependent thermal conductivity, $\kappa(\omega)$, for the spatially homogeneous perturbations described above. Given the two-point function of the momentum operator, which we denote in momentum space by $G(\omega)$, the thermal conductivity at zero chemical potential can be found via the Kubo formula

$$\kappa(\omega) = \frac{i}{\omega T}[G(\omega) - G(0)], \tag{3.12}$$

which implies that κ has a dimension -2. From the analysis of section 3.1, it is clear how to calculate $G(\omega)$: in the linear approximation, this is simply the ratio between the vev and source of the momentum operator which are known in terms of the boundary data. In order to obtain a gauge-invariant result, the source we need to consider is in fact the combination (3.8). Hence, it follows from (3.9) that the expression for the Green's function in terms of the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion is given by

$$G(\omega) = -\frac{4h_{tx}^{(2)}}{\Sigma^{(0)}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Here we have used the renormalization scheme freedom to drop local contributions to the one-point function [65]. We have explicitly checked that their inclusion does not affect the results obtained below. Expression (3.13) is to be evaluated for solutions in which the other field theory source, $h_{tx}^{(0)}$, is set to zero. Moreover, we must impose ingoing boundary conditions at the black hole horizon to ensure that the holographic prescription gives the retarded correlator [66]. With these conditions, the right hand side of (3.13) is uniquely determined once a value of ω is given[7]. We extract $G(\omega)$ by numerically solving the equations of motion (3.2)-(3.4) employing a shooting method, and then use (3.12) to obtain the AC thermal conductivity. Our results are displayed in figure 1.



Figure 1: Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the frequency dependent thermal conductivity. Quantities on both axes are scale-invariant.

As expected of a system which breaks translational invariance, the thermal conductivity does not present a delta function at zero frequency, as can be seen from the fact that

[7]It is worth noting that α can be set to one by simple coordinate transformations, so the only free parameter in the numerical calculation is ω.

$\mathrm{Im}\,\kappa(\omega) \to 0$ as $\omega \to 0$[8]. Instead, we find a finite peak in $\mathrm{Re}\,\kappa(\omega)$, which can be thought of as the resolution of the $\delta(\omega)$ present in the momentum-conserving system. For small α, the peak of the thermal conductivity in the relativistic Einstein-axion model can be well approximated by the Drude formula

$$\kappa(\omega) = \frac{\kappa(0)}{1 - i\omega\tau}, \tag{3.14}$$

where τ is a characteristic time. As we increase α, the peak broadens until we reach a regime in which the Drude approximation fails [68], signalling a transition from a coherent to an incoherent phase[9]. Coherence at small α stems from the fact that momentum is a quasi-conserved quantity. In this case, using the memory matrix formalism in field theory, it is possible to conclude that (3.14) must hold [70–72]. As pointed out in [18], the (in)coherence of the system can be understood from the structure of the quasi-normal modes (QNM). In fact, in the coherent regime transport is controlled by a single, purely-dissipative, long-lived excitation which as such gives rise to (3.14). As α increases, this dissipative mode mixes with other excitations in the spectrum so transport is no longer coherent.

Coming back to the non-relativistic case, we observe that the width of the peak in figure 1 is large, which indicates that we are in an incoherent regime. Indeed, computing $G(\omega)$ in the complex plane, we do not find QNM near the origin, so there is no evidence of isolated excitations controlling the heat transport in the system[10]. Since α is the only parameter of the background solution, scale invariance implies that all solutions with non-zero α are equivalent, so we cannot access the coherent regime in this analysis. Solutions with tunable α should be easy to find numerically, and they would help us search for a coherent/incoherent transition in this non-relativistic holographic system.

4 Conclusions

We have found a family of $z = 2$ Lifshitz black branes in arbitrary dimensions as analytic solutions of the Einstein-Proca theory coupled to massless scalar fields which depend linearly on the boundary coordinates. By studying linear fluctuations on this background for $d = 3$, we have concluded that there is a Ward identity which explicitly shows that momentum relaxes as a consequence of the breaking of translational invariance. Moreover, also in $d = 3$, we have computed the frequency dependent thermal conductivity numerically and verified that its DC limit is finite. The broadness of the zero frequency peak in the thermal conductivity indicates that transport is incoherent in this background. We have also found an analytic finite temperature solution with $z = 4$ and $d = 3$. We expect this configuration and the higher dimensional $z = 2$ ones to behave similarly to the $z = 2$, $d = 3$ case studied in detail here.

[8] Recall that the Kramers-Kronig relation implies that a delta function contribution to $\mathrm{Re}\,\kappa(\omega)$ would manifest itself as a pole in $\mathrm{Im}\,\kappa(\omega)$ [67].

[9] We refer the reader to [69] for a discussion on this terminology.

[10] Similarly, zero energy solutions on the Einstein-axion model were found to transport heat incoherently [18].

In order to obtain analytic results, we have restricted ourselves to uniparametric backgrounds characterized by α solely, which appear to be dual to zero energy configurations. By scale invariance, all solutions with non-zero α are equivalent, so, for a given dimension, these solutions are in fact one and the same. Despite the non-relativistic asymptotics of such configurations, their properties seem to closely resemble those of their relativistic analogue in the Einstein-axion theory. Finding more general black hole solutions with Lifshitz asymptotics and dressed with linear axions is a straightforward numerical task since it amounts to solving non-linear ODEs. Having more free parameters, these configurations would be relevant to elucidate the main differences between the relativistic and non-relativistic models of this type. We leave this interesting problem for future work.

As noted in [73], the Klein Gordon equation for a field of mass squared m_ψ^2 can be solved analytically on the $z = 2$ backgrounds of section 2. Using this, [73] concluded that these geometries are stable for Dirichlet boundary conditions – which set to zero the leading branch of the field near the boundary – if $m_\psi^2 > 0$[11]. At least in the pure Lifshitz geometry, the case $m_\psi^2 < 0$ is also well defined for Dirichlet boundary conditions if the analogue of the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound in AdS [76, 77] is respected [33], making a closer inspection of this case worthwhile. It turns out that for spacetime dimensions higher than four and negative squared masses above the Lifshitz BF bound, the aforementioned $z = 2$ black holes can be unstable against scalar perturbations which have small spatial momentum [75]. Given this, it seems pertinent to investigate if the presence of fields with vanishing mass in the Einstein-Proca-axion model could lead to instabilities of the kind discussed above for high enough dimensions.

To our knowledge, our construction constitutes the first example of a non-relativistic holographic model of momentum relaxation. Wondering about the generality of the procedure employed here, it is natural to ask whether or not the coupling to the axions yields similar configurations in other non-relativistic setups. For instance, it would be interesting to find a (perhaps finite temperature) analytic generalization of the Schrödinger spacetime which includes axions.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Eloy Ayón-Beato, Mokhtar Hassaïne and Ben Withers for valuable discussions, and especially Lorena Andrade for suggesting this research project. This work was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (ERC Grant agreement 307955). The partial support of the Newton-Picarte Grant 20140053 and the hospitality of the organizers of the conference "Spacetimes with anisotropic scaling symmetry and holography", which took place in Viña del Mar, Chile, on January 2016 are also gratefully acknowledged.

[11] Other choices of boundary conditions for scalar fields in Lifshitz were considered in [74, 75], which determined a range of masses in which the slow fall off of the field is allowed to fluctuate by normalizability. Somewhat surprisingly, even within this range of masses one finds unstable modes of the form $\omega \sim ik^z$ [75].

A Asymptotics of the linearized perturbations

Here we gather the results for the boundary series expansions of the linear fluctuations studied in section 3.1. Inserting the expansions (3.5)-(3.7) into (3.2)-(3.4) we find the following relations among the coefficients

$$a_x^{(0)} = -h_{tx}^{(0)}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$a_x^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} h_{tx}^{(0)}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$s^{(1)} = -\frac{i\omega}{4} h_{tx}^{(0)}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$a_x^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{16}[16h_{tx}^{(2)} + 4\alpha(\alpha h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\omega s^{(0)}) + (\alpha^4 + 4\omega^2)h_{tx}^{(0)}], \tag{A.4}$$

$$s^{(2)} = i\frac{\omega}{8\alpha}[8h_{tx}^{(2)} + \alpha(\alpha h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\omega s^{(0)}) + \omega^2 h_{tx}^{(0)}], \tag{A.5}$$

$$\tilde{h}_{tx}^{(2)} = -\frac{\alpha}{16}[4(\alpha h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\omega s^{(0)}) + \alpha^3 h_{tx}^{(0)}], \tag{A.6}$$

$$\tilde{a}_x^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha}{16}[4(\alpha h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\omega s^{(0)}) + \alpha^3 h_{tx}^{(0)}], \tag{A.7}$$

$$\tilde{s}^{(2)} = -\frac{i\omega}{16}[4(\alpha h_{tx}^{(1)} + i\omega s^{(0)}) + \alpha^3 h_{tx}^{(0)}]. \tag{A.8}$$

B Symplectic flux and conjugate pairs

In this appendix we present the details of the symplectic flux calculation quoted in section 3.1. Given two linearized solutions, $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{2}}$[12], the symplectic current, j^μ, can be constructed employing the algorithm detailed in [78]. The explicit expression for the Einstein-Proca theory can be found in [62], here we only need to supplement it by adding a Klein-Gordon piece due to the presence of the scalars. The full result is then

$$j^\mu = j_g^\mu + j_A^\mu + j_\psi^\mu, \tag{B.1}$$

where j_g^μ, j_A^μ and j_ψ^μ are the gravitational, vectorial and scalar contributions, respectively given by

$$j_g^\mu = \delta_{\mathbf{2}}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta})\delta_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\mathbf{2}}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\alpha})\delta_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} - (\mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{2}), \tag{B.2}$$

$$j_A^\mu = -\delta_{\mathbf{2}}(\sqrt{-g}F^{\mu\nu})\delta_{\mathbf{1}}A_\nu - (\mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{2}), \tag{B.3}$$

$$j_\psi^\mu = -\sum_{I=1}^{d-1} \delta_{\mathbf{2}}(\sqrt{-g}\partial^\mu\psi_I)\delta_{\mathbf{1}}\psi_I - (\mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{2}). \tag{B.4}$$

Note that we are using conventions in which the symplectic current is a vector density. We can readily check that the total symplectic current is closed on-shell, $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$.

The boundary symplectic flux $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the integral along the boundary directions of the pullback of j^μ to the boundary,

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta_{\mathbf{1}}, \delta_{\mathbf{2}}) = \int_{\partial M} (-g)^{-1/2} n_\mu j^\mu d^d x, \tag{B.5}$$

[12]The quantities $\delta_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2}}$ denote linearized configurations in which all fields fluctuate, i.e. $\delta_{\mathbf{1}} = \{\delta_{\mathbf{1}}g, \delta_{\mathbf{1}}A, \delta_{\mathbf{1}}\psi_I\}$ and likewise for $\delta_{\mathbf{2}}$. Boldface subindices label linear fields in each linearized solution.

where n_μ is the outward-pointing, unit normal to the boundary ∂M. As stated in [62], the energy density is an irrelevant operator, so we set its source to zero $h^{(0)}_{tx} = 0$. Evaluating (B.5) for the perturbations (3.1) with boundary conditions $h^{(0)}_{tx} = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\delta_{\mathbf{1}}, \delta_{\mathbf{2}}) = -\alpha \log r \int d^d x \left[h^{(1)}_{tx} \wedge \Sigma^{(0)} + s^{(0)} \wedge (-i\omega \Sigma^{(0)}) \right] \\ + \int d^d x [h^{(1)}_{tx} \wedge (2a^{(2)}_x - 2h^{(2)}_{tx} + \tilde{h}^{(2)}_{tx}) + s^{(0)} \wedge (4s_{(2)} - \tilde{s}^{(2)})],\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where the wedge denotes anti-symmetrization

$$a \wedge b = a_{\mathbf{1}} b_{\mathbf{2}} - a_{\mathbf{2}} b_{\mathbf{1}}. \tag{B.7}$$

Here we have used the asymptotic expansions (3.5)-(3.7) to evaluate the near-boundary limit. Recall that we are identifying $h^{(1)}_{tx}$ and $s^{(0)}$ with the sources of the momentum and scalar operators, respectively. Then, from the finite piece in (B.6), we can read off the dual vevs as

$$\mathcal{P}_x = 2a^{(2)}_x - 2h^{(2)}_{tx} + \tilde{h}^{(2)}_{tx}, \tag{B.8}$$

$$\mathcal{O} = 4s_{(2)} - \tilde{s}^{(2)}. \tag{B.9}$$

Furthermore, in order to ensure that the dynamics of the system are well-defined, we must choose boundary conditions which make the flux vanish. The divergent piece of (B.6) can be cancelled by setting the gauge invariant source $\Sigma^{(0)}$ to zero. However, this does not suffice to eliminate the finite contribution, which requires us to impose the gauge-dependent conditions $s^{(0)} = 0$ and $h^{(1)}_{tx} = 0$. A closely related behaviour was encountered in the pure Lifshitz case considered in [62]. One may in principle worry that imposing these two conditions separately may overdetermine the system of differential equations which controls the fluctuations. However, this is not the case, which becomes clear if we work in terms of gauge-invariant variables.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113–1133 [hep-th/9711200]. [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.2,231(1998)].

[2] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291 [hep-th/9802150].

[3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114 [hep-th/9802109].

[4] G. T. Horowitz, J. E. Santos and D. Tong, *Optical Conductivity with Holographic Lattices*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 168 [1204.0519].

[5] G. T. Horowitz, J. E. Santos and D. Tong, *Further Evidence for Lattice-Induced Scaling*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 102 [1209.1098].

[6] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *The thermoelectric properties of inhomogeneous holographic lattices*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 035 [1409.6875].

[7] M. Rangamani, M. Rozali and D. Smyth, *Spatial Modulation and Conductivities in Effective Holographic Theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 024 [1505.05171].

[8] P. Chesler, A. Lucas and S. Sachdev, *Conformal field theories in a periodic potential: results from holography and field theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 2 026005 [1308.0329].

[9] Y. Ling, C. Niu, J.-P. Wu and Z.-Y. Xian, *Holographic Lattice in Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton Gravity*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 006 [1309.4580].

[10] A. Donos and S. A. Hartnoll, *Interaction-driven localization in holography*, *Nature Phys.* **9** (2013) 649–655 [1212.2998].

[11] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Holographic Q-lattices*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 040 [1311.3292].

[12] T. Andrade and B. Withers, *A simple holographic model of momentum relaxation*, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 101 [1311.5157].

[13] D. Mateos and D. Trancanelli, *The anisotropic N=4 super Yang-Mills plasma and its instabilities*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 101601 [1105.3472].

[14] D. Vegh, *Holography without translational symmetry*, 1301.0537.

[15] Y. Bardoux, M. M. Caldarelli and C. Charmousis, *Shaping black holes with free fields*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 054 [1202.4458].

[16] B. Goutraux, *Charge transport in holography with momentum dissipation*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 181 [1401.5436].

[17] A. Donos, B. Goutraux and E. Kiritsis, *Holographic Metals and Insulators with Helical Symmetry*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 038 [1406.6351].

[18] R. A. Davison and B. Goutraux, *Momentum dissipation and effective theories of coherent and incoherent transport*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 039 [1411.1062].

[19] R. A. Davison and B. Goutraux, *Dissecting holographic conductivities*, *JHEP* **09** (2015) 090 [1505.05092].

[20] R. A. Davison, B. Goutraux and S. A. Hartnoll, *Incoherent transport in clean quantum critical metals*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 112 [1507.07137].

[21] M. Taylor and W. Woodhead, *Inhomogeneity simplified*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014), no. 12 3176 [1406.4870].

[22] J. Erdmenger, B. Herwerth, S. Klug, R. Meyer and K. Schalm, *S-Wave Superconductivity in Anisotropic Holographic Insulators*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 094 [1501.07615].

[23] T. Andrade and S. A. Gentle, *Relaxed superconductors*, *JHEP* **06** (2015) 140 [1412.6521].

[24] K.-Y. Kim, K. K. Kim and M. Park, *A Simple Holographic Superconductor with Momentum Relaxation*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 152 [1501.00446].

[25] Y. Ling, P. Liu, C. Niu, J.-P. Wu and Z.-Y. Xian, *Holographic Superconductor on Q-lattice*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 059 [1410.6761].

[26] Y. Ling, C. Niu, J. Wu, Z. Xian and H.-b. Zhang, *Metal-insulator Transition by Holographic Charge Density Waves*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 091602 [1404.0777].

[27] Y. Ling, P. Liu, C. Niu, J.-P. Wu and Z.-Y. Xian, *Holographic Entanglement Entropy Close to Quantum Phase Transitions*, 1502.03661.

[28] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Black holes dual to helical current phases*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 064010 [1204.1734].

[29] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Holographic charge density waves*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013), no. 12 126008 [1303.4398].

[30] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Holographic helical superconductors*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 091 [1109.3866].

[31] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett and C. Pantelidou, *Competing p-wave orders*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 055007 [1310.5741].

[32] T. Andrade and A. Krikun, *Commensurability effects in holographic homogeneous lattices*, 1512.02465.

[33] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, *Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 106005 [0808.1725].

[34] M. Taylor, *Lifshitz holography*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016), no. 3 033001 [1512.03554].

[35] D. T. Son, *Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 046003 [0804.3972].

[36] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, *Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 061601 [0804.4053].

[37] M. Taylor, *Non-relativistic holography*, 0812.0530.

[38] M. H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers and B. Rollier, *Torsional Newton-Cartan Geometry and Lifshitz Holography*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 061901 [1311.4794].

[39] M. H. Christensen, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers and B. Rollier, *Boundary Stress-Energy Tensor and Newton-Cartan Geometry in Lifshitz Holography*, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 057 [1311.6471].

[40] J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis and N. A. Obers, *Schrdinger Invariance from Lifshitz Isometries in Holography and Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 066003 [1409.1522].

[41] J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis and N. A. Obers, *Lifshitz spacetimes for Schrdinger holography*, *Phys. Lett.* **B746** (2015) 318–324 [1409.1519].

[42] J. Hartong, E. Kiritsis and N. A. Obers, *Field Theory on Newton-Cartan Backgrounds and Symmetries of the Lifshitz Vacuum*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 006 [1502.00228].

[43] J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, *Hoava-Lifshitz gravity from dynamical Newton-Cartan geometry*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 155 [1504.07461].

[44] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, *An Analytic Lifshitz black hole*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 104039 [0909.0263].

[45] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, *Lifshitz Black Hole in Three Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 104029 [0909.1347].

[46] R.-G. Cai, Y. Liu and Y.-W. Sun, *A Lifshitz Black Hole in Four Dimensional R**2 Gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2009) 080 [0909.2807].

[47] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, *Analytic Lifshitz black holes in higher dimensions*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 030 [1001.2361].

[48] M. H. Dehghani and R. B. Mann, *Lovelock-Lifshitz Black Holes*, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 019 [1004.4397].

[49] J. Matulich and R. Troncoso, *Asymptotically Lifshitz wormholes and black holes for Lovelock gravity in vacuum*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 118 [1107.5568].

[50] W. G. Brenna, M. H. Dehghani and R. B. Mann, *Quasi-Topological Lifshitz Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 024012 [1101.3476].

[51] H. A. Gonzalez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, *Field theories with anisotropic scaling in 2D, solitons and the microscopic entropy of asymptotically Lifshitz black holes*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 066 [1107.3647].

[52] M. H. Dehghani and S. Asnafi, *Thermodynamics of Rotating Lovelock-Lifshitz Black Branes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 064038 [1107.3354].

[53] Y. Liu, *Spatially homogeneous Lifshitz black holes in five dimensional higher derivative gravity*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 024 [1202.1748].

[54] H. Lu, Y. Pang, C. N. Pope and J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, *AdS and Lifshitz Black Holes in Conformal and Einstein-Weyl Gravities*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 044011 [1204.1062].

[55] M. Ghanaatian, A. Bazrafshan and W. G. Brenna, *Lifshitz Quartic Quasitopological Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 12 124012 [1402.0820].

[56] H. Maeda and G. Giribet, *Lifshitz black holes in Brans-Dicke theory*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 015 [1105.1331].

[57] M. Gutperle, E. Hijano and J. Samani, *Lifshitz black holes in higher spin gravity*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 020 [1310.0837].

[58] M. Beccaria, M. Gutperle, Y. Li and G. Macorini, *Higher spin Lifshitz theories and the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 8 085005 [1504.06555].

[59] S. F. Ross and O. Saremi, *Holographic stress tensor for non-relativistic theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 009 [0907.1846].

[60] S. F. Ross, *Holography for asymptotically locally Lifshitz spacetimes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 215019 [1107.4451].

[61] I. Papadimitriou, *Holographic renormalization as a canonical transformation*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 014 [1007.4592].

[62] T. Andrade and S. F. Ross, *Boundary conditions for metric fluctuations in Lifshitz*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 195017 [1305.3539].

[63] M. Baggio, J. de Boer and K. Holsheimer, *Anomalous Breaking of Anisotropic Scaling Symmetry in the Quantum Lifshitz Model*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 099 [1112.6416].

[64] W. Chemissany, D. Geissbuhler, J. Hartong and B. Rollier, *Holographic Renormalization for z=2 Lifshitz Space-Times from AdS*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012) 235017 [1205.5777].

[65] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622 [hep-th/0002230].

[66] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *Minkowski space correlators in AdS / CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications*, *JHEP* **09** (2002) 042 [hep-th/0205051].

[67] S. A. Hartnoll, *Lectures on holographic methods for condensed matter physics*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 224002 [0903.3246].

[68] K.-Y. Kim, K. K. Kim, Y. Seo and S.-J. Sin, *Coherent/incoherent metal transition in a holographic model*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 170 [1409.8346].

[69] S. A. Hartnoll, *Theory of universal incoherent metallic transport*, *Nature Phys.* **11** (2015) 54 [1405.3651].

[70] S. A. Hartnoll, P. K. Kovtun, M. Müller and S. Sachdev, *Theory of the Nernst effect near quantum phase transitions in condensed matter and in dyonic black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **B76** (Oct., 2007) 144502 [0706.3215].

[71] S. A. Hartnoll and D. M. Hofman, *Locally Critical Resistivities from Umklapp Scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 241601 [1201.3917].

[72] R. Mahajan, M. Barkeshli and S. A. Hartnoll, *Non-Fermi liquids and the Wiedemann-Franz law*, *Phys. Rev.* **B88** (Sept., 2013) 125107 [1304.4249].

[73] A. Giacomini, G. Giribet, M. Leston, J. Oliva and S. Ray, *Scalar field perturbations in asymptotically Lifshitz black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 124001 [1203.0582].

[74] C. Keeler, *Scalar Boundary Conditions in Lifshitz Spacetimes*, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 067 [1212.1728].

[75] T. Andrade and S. F. Ross, *Boundary conditions for scalars in Lifshitz*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 065009 [1212.2572].

[76] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *Positive energy in anti-de Sitter backgrounds and gauged extended supergravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B115** (1982) 197.

[77] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *Stability in gauged extended supergravity*, *Ann. Phys.* **144** (1982) 249.

[78] J. Lee and R. M. Wald, *Local symmetries and constraints*, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 725–743.